



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,067	01/17/2006	Manxia Tie	C3110.0001	2699
32173 7590 04/23/2010 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1633 Broadway NEW YORK, NY 10019				
EXAMINER				
AVERY, JEREMIAH L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2431				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/23/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/534,067

**Applicant(s)**

TIE ET AL.

**Examiner**

JEREMIAH AVERY

**Art Unit**

2431

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2009 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/GS/US)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- I. Claims 1-21 have been examined.
- II. Responses to Applicant's remarks have been given.

### ***Drawings***

1. The replacement drawing for Figure 1 was received on 10/21/09. This drawing is acceptable and the previous objection to Figure 1 due to a lack of a "Prior Art" label is hereby withdrawn.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 13, filed 10/21/09, with respect to the objection to claims 3 and 7 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection to claims 3 and 7 has been withdrawn.
3. Further, the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 21 is also withdrawn due to the Applicant's amendment to said claim.
4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/09 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection set forth below.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No 7,350,076 to Young et al., hereinafter Young and United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0103283 to Hornak, hereinafter Hornak.

5. Regarding claim 1, Young teaches a method for the secure access of a mobile terminal to a Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link (column 5, lines 42-66, column 8, lines 1-6, "the present invention can operate, including but not limited to desktop computers, *laptop computers*, *PDA's* (*personal digital assistants*), *cell phones*, *paggers*, etc.", column 9, lines 59-65, column 10, lines 1-23 and column 12, lines 1-19, "authenticates at the central authentication server" and lines 42-65);  
and the Mobile Terminal (MT) and the Access Point (AP) perform negotiation of secret key for conversation (column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-9 and column 12, lines 32-41).
6. Young significantly teaches the claimed invention, as cited above. However, Young does not substantially teach the claim limitations pertaining to "wherein when a

Mobile Terminal (MT) logs on a wireless Access Point (AP), a Mobile Terminal (MT) certificate and an Access Point (AP) certificate are transferred to an Authentication Server (AS) and are authenticated through the Authentication Server (AS), then the authentication result of the Mobile Terminal (MT) certificate and the Access Point (AP) certificate is returned to the Access Point (AP) and the Mobile Terminal (MT) in order to achieve the direct the two-way certificate authentication between said Mobile Terminal (MT) and Access Point (AP)". Homak teaches said limitations, as cited below.

7. Regarding claim 1, Homak teaches wherein when a Mobile Terminal (MT) logs on a wireless Access Point (AP), a Mobile Terminal (MT) certificate and an Access Point (AP) certificate are transferred to an Authentication Server (AS) and are authenticated through the Authentication Server (AS) (page 5, paragraph 83, "CA 48 is accessible by the client 42, the origin server 44 and the gateway 46 for authentication of a certificate belonging to each of these parties"), then the authentication result of the Mobile Terminal (MT) certificate and the Access Point (AP) certificate is returned to the Access Point (AP) and the Mobile Terminal (MT) in order to achieve the direct the two-way certificate authentication between said Mobile Terminal (MT) and Access Point (AP) (page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46").

8. The motivation to combine would be to ensure that "confidential and authenticated communication can take place" (*Homak* – page 2, paragraph 15).

9. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Homak with the teachings of

Young in order to provide a means to guarantee that the devices utilized for the communication within the network environment are secure and the communication(s) are protected.

10. Further, within claims 2-7, 10, 14 and 15, analogous claim language pertaining to the authentication of an "Access Point (AP) certificate" and "the two-way certificate authentication between said Mobile Terminal (MT) and Access Point (AP)" is present. Thus, the motivation to combine and reasons for obviousness also pertain to those claims.

11. Regarding claim 2, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:  
after said two-way certificate authentication is successfully performed, MT and AP perform said negotiation of the secret key for conversation (column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-9 and column 12, lines 32-41).

12. Regarding claim 2, Hornak teaches when MT logs on AP, MT and AP performs said two-way certificate authentication through AS (page 5, paragraph 83, "CA 48 is accessible by the client 42, the origin server 44 and the gateway 46 for authentication of a certificate belonging to each of these parties").

13. Regarding claim 3, Young teaches said method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

when MT logs on AP, MT and AP inform one another of their respective certificate, and then they perform negotiation of secret key for conversation (column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-9 and column 12, lines 32-41); and meanwhile judge whether the certificate used by the other part is the same as the one informed by it such that if it is not the same, the authentication fails; if it is the same, the result of the authentication depends on the result of said two-way certificate identification (column 10, lines 62-67, column 11, lines 1 and 2, "If the values do not match, then there is no authentication of the network device and the session is terminated. If the values match, then the network device 210 has shown that is a valid device for client device 202 and therefore the network device 210 is authenticated to the client device 202" and column 13, lines 21-37).

14. Regarding claim 3, Hornak teaches after said negotiation of secret key for conversation is completed, MT and AT performs the two-way certificate authentication through AS (page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46").

15. Regarding claim 4, Hornak teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

said two-way certificate authentication comprising the steps: 1) when MT logs on AP, MT sends to AP the access authentication request message containing the MT certificate; 2) after AP receives said access authentication request message, it adds the AP certificate to the message, then sends to AS the certificate authentication request

message containing said MT certificate and AP certificate; 3) after AS receives said certificate authentication request message, AS authenticates the AP certificate and MT certificate in said message, and then sends back to AP the certificate authentication response message containing the AS signature; 4) after AP receives said certificate authentication response message, AP authenticates the AS signature, so as to obtain the result of authentication of the MT certificate, and then sends back to MT the certificate authentication response message as the access authentication response message (page 5, paragraph 83, "CA 48 is accessible by the client 42, the origin server 44 and the gateway 46 for authentication of a certificate belonging to each of these parties");

and 5) after MT receives said access authentication response message, MT authenticates the AS signature and obtains the result of authentication of the AP certificate, so as to complete said two-way certificate identification between MT and AP after said negotiation of secret key for conversation is completed, MT and AT performs the two-way certificate authentication through AS (page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46").

16. Regarding claim 5, Young teaches said method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

then MT performs the corresponding processing to complete said negotiation of secret key for conversation (column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-9 and column 12, lines 32-41).



17. Regarding claim 5, Hornak teaches 1) when MT logs on AP, MT sends to AP the access authentication request message containing the MT certificate for said two-way certificate authentication; 2) after AP receives said access authentication request message, it adds the AP certificate to the message, then sends to AS the certificate authentication request message containing said MT certificate and AP certificate for said two-way certificate authentication, and meanwhile begins with MT negotiation of the secret key for conversation; 3) after AS receives said certificate authentication request message, AS authenticates the AP certificate and MT certificate in said message, and then sends back to AP the certificate authentication response message containing AS signature for said two-way certificate authentication; 4) after AP receives said certificate authentication response message, AP authenticates the AS signature, so as to obtain the result of authentication of the MT certificate, and then sends back to MT the certificate authentication response message as the access authentication response message for said two-way certificate authentication (page 5, paragraph 83, "CA 48 is accessible by the client 42, the origin server 44 and the gateway 46 for authentication of a certificate belonging to each of these parties"); 5) after MT receives said access authentication response message, MT authenticates the AS signature and obtains the result of authentication of the AP certificate, so as to complete the process of said two-way certificate identification between MT and AP (page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46").

18. Regarding claim 6, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

If the authentication is successful, AP begins to consult with MT the secret key for to conversation while it sends back to MT said access authentication response message (column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1 and 2, "If the values do not match, then there is no authentication of the network device and the session is terminated. If the values match, then the network device 210 has shown that is a valid device for client device 202 and therefore the network device 210 is authenticated to the client device 202" and lines 3-9, column 12, lines 32-41 and column 13, lines 21-37);

and 5) after MT receives said certificate authentication response message, MT authenticates the AS signature and obtains the result of authentication of the AP certificate, so as to complete said two-way certificate identification between MT and AP (page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46"), and then MT performs the corresponding processing to complete said process of negotiation of secret key for conversation (column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-9 and column 12, lines 32-41).

19. Regarding claim 6, Hornak teaches 1) when MT logs on AP, MT sends AP the access authentication request message containing the MT certificate for said two-way certificate authentication; 2) after AP receives said access authentication request message, it adds the AP certificate to the message, then sends to AS the certificate authentication request message containing said MT certificate and AP certificate for

said two-way certificate authentication; 3) after AS receives said certificate authentication request message, AS authenticates the AP certificate and MT certificate in said message, and then sends back to AP the certificate authentication response message containing AS signature for said two-way certificate authentication (page 5, paragraph 83, "CA 48 is accessible by the client 42, the origin server 44 and the gateway 46 for authentication of a certificate belonging to each of these parties"); 4) after AP receives said certificate authentication response message, AP authenticates the AS signature, so as to obtain the result of authentication of the MT certificate, AP judges the result of authentication. If the authentication is not successful, AP sends back to MT said certificate authentication response message as the access authentication response message for said two-way certificate authentication (page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46").

20. Regarding claim 7, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

1) when MT logs on AP, each part informs the other of its own certificate, then they complete said negotiation of secret key for conversation, and 5) after MT receives said access authentication response message, MT authenticates the AS signature, and then judges whether the AP certificate is the same as the one AP informed of before negotiation of secret key for conversation such that if it is not the same, the authentication fails (column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1

and 2, "If the values do not match, then there is no authentication of the network device and the session is terminated. If the values match, then the network device 210 has shown that is a valid device for client device 202 and therefore the network device 210 is authenticated to the client device 202" and lines 3-9, column 12, lines 32-41 and column 13, lines 21-37).

21. Regarding claim 7, Hornak teaches MT also completes informing AP of the access authentication request identification; 2) AP sends to AS the certificate authentication request message containing the MT certificate and AP certificate for said two-way certificate Authentication; 3) after AS receives said certificate authentication request message, AS authenticates the AP certificate and MT certificate in said message, and then sends back to AP the certificate authentication response message containing AS signature for said two-way certificate authentication (page 5, paragraph 83, "CA 48 is accessible by the client 42, the origin server 44 and the gateway 46 for authentication of a certificate belonging to each of these parties"); 4) after AP receives said certificate authentication response message, AP authenticates the AS signature, so as to obtain the result of authentication of the MT certificate, and then sends back to MT said certificate authentication response message as the access authentication response message for said two-way certificate authentication; if it is the same, MT obtains the result of the authentication of the AP certificate from the message, so as to complete said two-way certificate authentication process between MT and AP (page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46").

22. Regarding claim 8, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1 wherein:  
said access authentication request message also comprising the access authentication request identification (column 10, lines 62-67 and column 11, lines 1, 2, 10-27 and 40-50).
23. Regarding claim 9, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claims 4, 5, 6 or 7, wherein:  
said certificate authentication request message also comprising the access authentication request identification, *or* also comprising the access authentication request identification and AP signature (column 2, lines 44-56, column 10, lines 62-67 and column 11, lines 1, 2, 10-27 and 40-50).
24. Regarding claim 10, Homak teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:  
said certificate authentication response message also comprising, before the signature filed of AS, the information of the result of the MT certificate authentication and those of the AP certificate authentication (page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46").

25. Regarding claim 11, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:  
said access authentication response message is identical with said certificate authentication response message (column 2, lines 44-56, column 10, lines 62-67 and column 11, lines 1, 2, 10-27 and 40-50).

26. Regarding claim 12, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 7, 8 or 9 wherein:  
said access authentication request identification is a string of random data *or* authentication serial number (column 10, lines 34-42 and 54-61, column 11, lines 10-17 and column 12, lines 20-31).

27. Regarding claim 13, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:  
said information of MT certificate authentication result comprising the MT certificate, and the MT certificate authentication result and the AS signature, *or* comprises the MT certificate and the MT certificate authentication result (column 10, lines 62-67 and column 11, lines 1, 2, 10-27 and 40-50).

28. Regarding claim 14, Homak teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

said information of the AP certificate authentication result comprises the AP certificate, the AP certificate authentication result, the access authentication request identification and the AS signature, *or* comprises the AP certificate, the AP certificate authentication result and the access authentication request identification (page 5, paragraph 83, "CA 48 is accessible by the client 42, the origin server 44 and the gateway 46 for authentication of a certificate belonging to each of these parties").

29. Regarding claim 15, Hornak teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

when MT intends to access to the designated AP, the MT must first of all obtain the relevant information of the AP *or* the certificate of the AP page 6, paragraph 110, "a protocol handshake is executed between the client 42 and the gateway 46").

30. Regarding claim 16, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein: said negotiation of secret key for conversation refers to MT *or* AP using AP's *or* MT's common key and their respective own private key to generate the secret key for conversation (column 2, lines 46-56, "During the Diffie-Hellman key agreement exchange, both the wireless device and the access point sign the information used to generate the shared secret key and this information is forwarded to a trusted third party", column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-9 and column 12, lines 32-41).

31. Regarding claim 19, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:  
said negotiation of secret key for conversation comprising: 1) MT or AP generates a string of random data, and sends them to AP or MT as the secret key negotiation request message after encryption using the common key of AP or MT; 2) After it receives said secret key negotiation request message from MT or AP, AP or MT uses its own private key for decryption, obtains the random data generated by the other part; then AP or MP generates again a string of random data; and sends them to MT or AP as the secret key negotiation response message after encryption using the common key of MT or AP; and 3) After it receives said secret key negotiation response message from AP or MT, MT or AP, uses its own private key for decryption, obtains the random data generated by the other part; both MT and AP utilizes the random data generated by the other part and itself to generate the secret key for conversation (column 2, lines 46-56, "During the Diffie-Hellman key agreement exchange, both the wireless device and the access point sign the information used to generate the shared secret key and this information is forwarded to a trusted third party", column 5, lines 56-66, column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-17 and 33-39 and column 12, lines 14-19, 32-41 and 47-61).

32. Regarding claim 20, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:



said negotiation of secret key for conversation comprising: 1) MT or AP generates a string of random data, and, after it utilizes the common key of AP or MT for encryption, attaches its own signature as the secret key negotiation request message, and transmits it to AP or MT; and 2) after AP or MT receives said secret key negotiation request message from MT or AP, it utilizes the common key of MT or AP to authenticate the signature, and then utilizes its own private key to decrypt the encrypted message received; both MT and AP uses the random data as the secret key for conversation (column 2, lines 46-56, "During the Diffie-Hellman key agreement exchange, both the wireless device and the access point sign the information used to generate the shared secret key and this information is forwarded to a trusted third party", column 5, lines 56-66, column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-17 and 33-39 and column 12, lines 14-19, 32-41 and 47-61).

33. Regarding claim 21, Young teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein: said negotiation of secret key for conversation also comprising negotiation of the communication algorithm used in the process of communication (column 10, lines 39-67, column 11, lines 1-9 and column 12, lines 32-41).

34. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young and Hornak as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of United States Patent No. 5,515,439 to Bantz et al., hereinafter Bantz.

35. Young and Hornak significantly teach the claimed invention, as cited above.

However, Young and Hornak do not substantially teach the claim limitations found within claims 17 and 18. Bantz teaches said claim limitations, as cited below.

36. Regarding claim 17, Bantz teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data

communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

said negotiation of secret key for conversation comprising: 1) MT secretly chooses an integer  $a$ , from which to calculate the integer  $f(a)$ , combines the integer  $f(a)$  and the MT signature on it into the secret key negotiation request message, and transmits it to AP; said  $f$  is a function rendering integer  $a$  from the integer  $f(a)$  in calculable; 2) after it receives said secret key negotiation request message, AP secretly chooses an integer  $b$ , from which to calculate the integer  $f(b)$ , combines the integer  $f(b)$  and the AP signature on it into the secret key negotiation response message, and transmits it to MT; said  $f$  is a function rendering integer  $b$  from the integer  $f(b)$  in calculable; and 3) AP calculates  $g(b, f(a))$ , and MT calculates  $g(a, f(b))$  after it receives said secret key negotiation response message, as the secret key for conversation in the process of communication; said  $g$  is a function rendering the calculation of  $g(a, f(b))=g(b, f(a))$  possible (column 2, lines 57-67, column 3, lines 1-13 and 35-67, "cannot be solved for  $K'$  without knowledge of  $S$ ", column 4, lines 1-6, column 8, lines 36-61, column 9, lines 60-67 and column 10, lines 1-20, "an eavesdropper intercepting this exchange certificate cannot get knowledge of the value  $K'$  since he does not know the secret key  $S$ ").

37. The motivation to combine would be "to provide such a method for dynamically transmitting and validating the value of any variable between two users of a communications network" (*Bantz* - column 2, lines 34-38).

38. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of *Bantz* with the teachings of Young and Hornak in order "to protect this exchange certificate against replay attacks" (*Bantz* – column 10, lines 21-30).

39. Regarding claim 18, *Bantz* teaches the method for the secure access of mobile terminal to the Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and for secure data communication via wireless link according to claim 1, wherein:

said negotiation of secret key for conversation comprising: 1) AP secretly chooses an integer  $b$ , from which to calculate integer  $f(b)$ , combines the integer  $f(b)$  and the AP signature on it into the secret key negotiation request message, and transmits it to MT; said  $f$  is a function rendering integer  $a$  from the integer  $f(b)$  in calculable; 2) after it receives said secret key negotiation request message, MT secretly chooses an integer  $a$ , from which to calculate the integer  $f(a)$ , forms the integer  $f(a)$  and the MT signature on it into the secret key negotiation response message, and transmits it to AP; said  $f$  is a function rendering integer  $a$  from the integer  $f(a)$  in calculable; and 3) MT calculates  $g(a, f(a))$ , and AP calculates  $g(a, f(b))$  after it receives said secret key response message, as the secret key for conversation in the process of communication; said  $g$  is a function rendering the calculation of  $g(a, f(b))=g(b, f(a))$  possible (column 2, lines 57-67, column 3, lines 1-13 and 35-67, "cannot be solved for  $K'$  without knowledge of  $S$ ",

column 4, lines 1-6, column 8, lines 36-61, column 9, lines 60-67 and column 10, lines 1-20, "an eavesdropper intercepting this exchange certificate cannot get knowledge of the value K" since he does not know the secret key S").

40. The motivation to combine would be "to provide such a method for dynamically transmitting and validating the value of any variable between two users of a communications network" (*Bantz* - column 2, lines 34-38).

41. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of *Bantz* with the teachings of *Young and Hornak* in order "to protect this exchange certificate against replay attacks" (*Bantz* - column 10, lines 21-30).

### ***Conclusion***

42. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

43. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

44. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

45. The following United States Patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to secure network communication, such as:

United States Patent No. 6,912,657 to Gehrmann, which is cited to show a method and arrangement in a communication network.

United States Patent No. 7,246,236 to Stirbu, which is cited to show a method and apparatus for providing peer authentication for a transport layer session.

United States Patent No. 7,389,412 to Sharma et al., which is cited to show a scheme for device and user authentication with key distribution in a wireless network.

United States Patent No. 7,028,186 to Stenman et al., which is cited to show key management methods for wireless LANs.

United States Patent No. 7,107,620 to Haverinen et al., which is cited to show authentication in a packet data network.

United States Patent No. 6,971,005 to Henry et al., which is cited to show a mobile host using a virtual single account client and server system for network access and management.

United States Patent No. 7,181,530 to Halasz et al., which is cited to show Rogue AP detection.

46. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEREMIAH AVERY whose telephone number is (571)272-8627. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30am-5pm.

47. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

48. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeremiah Avery/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2431

/William R. Korzuch/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431